

The Road Ahead:  
Selected writings  
2007 - 2017

Indana Simonde

Copyright © 2017 Indana Simonde

All rights reserved.

ISBN: 9781522018032

## PART 1 CONTENTS

M-theory	i
1 Introduction	1
2 Making Poverty History	Pg 14
3 Opinions of the sons of ignorance	Pg 20
4 For Women	Pg 26
5 The Ressurrection	Pg 30
6 Resemblance of the Afterwar	Pg 35
7 Empires and the question of age	Pg 40
8 The Crystal Ball	Pg 44
9 Sense and sensibility	Pg 47
10 Somewhere to return to from Eternity	Pg 53

## PART 2 CONTENTS

1 Charter of the United Nations	74
2 The Universal Declaration of Human Rights	Pg 76
3 Declaration on the Rights of the Child	Pg 78
4 Convention on the Rights of the Child	Pg 82

M-theory versus the theorem of loss begins and ends with agnosia. Where once a string defined a human conception it now defines everything humanly conceivable. All things begin and end with nothing. Where nothing is conceivable all things begin and end. So, rather than ask "how long is a piece of string?" one, indivisible from the present subject asks, who is going to survive? To begin with, I swore an oath of allegiance to Queen Elizabeth II and as such am a British citizen of which I would not wish to encroach on the domain of her Royal Highness' remit as my ruler and crowned sovereign of the nation. Regardless I am in the process of completing a mandate as stated in previous books and as such thought I would make note to highlight a few points. Queen Elizabeth II (crowned monarch of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, celebrated her coronation on the 2nd of June 1953). Since that point many sweeping changes have hit British Shores and the rest of the world, which include (but are not limited to) Brexit, the motor car (soon to be electric), modern motorways and roads along with Nuclear Power Stations. Many of these advancements have been the culmination of long and hard work, and even longer nights and days from members of the public and a hard-working civil service staff.

#### **A letter**

There was a time when I didn't think I knew myself or my family; I now realize I am a humanist who has already, or is already losing all that I once knew to be normal and rather am finding god in the only way I know how. And I can't do anything short of pray for more time to apologise to my family and the wider community.

I.Simonde

Saturday 2nd of July 2005—Make poverty history was one of the most politically astute statements of any generation, but for the twentieth century it signalled the beginnings or the end for capitalism in South America. It equally sparked the dawn of equal and fair trade between the world's richest and poorest nations. It made the change between welcoming and ignoring the plight of difficult relations between the countries that couldn't quite make it to the corridors of power without being ignored on a more regular basis for lack of powerful allies.

Problems with infrastructure instituted by defunct governments that favoured lining respective governments' own pockets over the actual need to reduce the creation of Nuclear or other armaments and narcotics. Fields in Afghanistan, the Middle East and Hungry, Asia, Colombia and Africa, as well as in Europe or wherever they are could be better utilised in order to support an overburdened global populous in the future, whilst the value of the drugs used to nurse premature babies and children as well as medication in the interests of mothers and individuals suffering from as at present incurable illnesses (including those members of the populous with permanent mental difficulties) could be better served.

The America's were overstretched as a result of the war **on** terror between Afghanistan, Iraq and later on peacekeeping missions in Israel and internationally as witnessed with the onset of social coercion in Northern Korea. The end of global arm's and the call to end the use and creation of bullets would render all armaments useless save for knives and it's not likely that the army would willingly return to the days of chivalry that revolved around the use of the sword.

The United Kingdom equally partnered with world superpower's of which China happens to be playing a major role in the global order, with the former leader of the Labour Party and former Prime Minister(s) Tony Blair and Gordon Brown (the then Chancellor of the Exchequer) both of whom represented the Labour government. In this regard a push towards "equal and friendly" communications between nations was called for in establishing strong intergovernmental (multi-lateral) dialogues.

Koffi Anan (formerly the Secretary General of the United Nations) and Condoleeza Rice (a former Secretary of State for the United States of America) along with George Bush (the president preceding the Obama and Trump Administrations), worked together to reduce the global effects of war in the Middle East. Over the years, German Chancellor Angela Merkel met with the British government to talk of matters to do with state and the economy whilst matters of national and international concern including the matter of the British Exit from the European Union have been directed by a number of different bodies and Ministerial departments.

Jacque Chiraque met with Tony Blair and various leaders of the G8 in 2005 (Britain, America, France, Italy, Spain, Canada, Switzerland and Germany) worked for equal cooperation within the framework of science, the economy, education, business and trade etc. George Bush spoke at the meetings which were championed by the leader of the Labour party, but the matter of the fact is, throughout his entire stay in office, Tony Blair has worked hard for the people. If the various presidents in and around Africa were able to create an equal level of financial support on a limited budget aimed towards the

university students, student nurses and doctors, (along with supporting police officers and attempting to find alternatives to the prison system, and upgrades to utilities and services used by fire officers) the world would be a better place.

As an advocate for Global (as opposed to national) Disarmament, I felt it prudent to note that although it has to be said that upgrades to the aging nuclear deterrent system by the M.O.D being planned for newly commissioned submarines in my view could be altered to fit in line with the Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty as the threat of global thermonuclear destruction due to warfare (as a result of sabre rattling by nuclear states) can only be provoked. Setting an example as the worlds' leaders would make a difference to 'insurgent and radical groups'; (examples include but are not limited to the PKK, ETA and more recently ISIS) each of whom follow Western nations and fight against the goals, ideals and values of the same with a great deal of distrust.

When I was last in Africa, I was almost involved in a robbery (which erupted into a potential gunfight that involved a situation where an ex army officer had a gun he had stolen and attempted to hold a bar grill in the middle of nowhere to ransom). The sound of a gunshot as with mortar fire is similar to a firework but distinctly different in tone; as I noted when travelling to Jerusalem.

Being classed as British, I had never heard a gunshot before and it made a difference to the way I viewed my own mortality over the coming months and years. Not with any fear, but rather with an awesome belief in the gift that we are created in a god's image.

Every day, hundreds if not thousands are injured and killed by the tools of warfare and the practice of

statecraft as well as by organised and international gun crime. I would implore the reader to pay close attention to negative attitudes (e.g. attitudes towards poverty, benefit 'scrounging' within the trickle-down economy and also issues affecting homeless individuals who live without human rights for all intents and purposes) and racism regardless of political affiliation. I may not be as knowledgeable as Stephen Hawking or a Nobel laureate, but everyone has a voice, and the more people that use theirs not only to entertain but to help restrain the weak and the strong alike from negative actions, the less likely the need will be for any nation to live in a Totalitarian and (or) Orwellian Society. That is, whereby we're all cattle prodded and pre-packaged in order to act, feel and see the world from a viewpoint of stereotypical bias due in part to partisan politics and nationalism.

The ex president of South Africa, Nelson Mandela stated; "... You can be that generation" in his address to the world's media with regards to the fact that this generation is fast becoming the first in world history to be in a position to address and end the toll of human slavery (be it through national and international trafficking of peoples or simply through ethical and mature choices at the till – fair trade initiatives etc. (When you think of the fact that the Bank of Scotland for example was founded in 1695 whilst the actual slavery trade which still flourishes to this day in a different guise under national immigration, was founded in 1695 (unofficially))) and the tide of poverty and discontent, malnutrition and the failure of the world's nations to compete in a fair and equal manner in every field from trade to aid through to national and international debt (being the objective of the

G8's agenda in 2005).

Due to the fact that it was held in Gleneagles, Scotland, the nature of the endeavour meant a great deal to all Britons and especially Scottish people who had the pleasure of hosting the G8 on this occasion. Some topics that *may* well have been discussed at the time and which are still highly topical probably ranged from the obvious third world debt(s) and trade subsidies, fertility and compromise as to pro-choice stances within liberal democracies (Britain of course being a Constitutional Monarchy) and the onset of the effects of Aids/HIV in many of the world's most powerful and weakest nations alike. Equally business and pharmaceuticals, the economy and the nature of the role of financial intermediaries with major players such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund which as international institutions deal with alleviating debt and providing loans to major central banks of a variety of nations were likely discussed.

George Bush had time to celebrate his birthday (as it coincided with his visit to Gleneagles on the 3<sup>rd</sup> of July, he equally had a ball and dinner with the Queen of Scotland, England, Wales and Northern Ireland). At the same time, during the same year, the Prime Minister of Ireland and leader of Sin Fein, Gerry Adams, signed a deal to reduce the level of gun crime that the IRA was dealing with. More than that, he signed a document stating that the IRA would end their countless years of infighting and detonations of bombs and various terrorist activities in order to reduce unnecessary deaths. National pride was riding high on the fact that Zambia was due to win the world cup (they never qualified **this time**) Scotland came close in the qualifiers but struggled to

reach the actual event. The worlds celebrities and major sponsors of the Make Poverty History campaign advertised the **click** campaign in which they mentioned that “A child dies every 3 seconds” in the third world and that amounts to approximately tens of thousands of souls per day.

People rallied for many different causes from Socialism to the alleviation of the trade deficit, more aid, dropping the debt and creation of various services that would make a difference to the lives of people. Businesses were boarded up and millions of people from around the world joined hands in order to unify the world in a manner that had never before been witnessed in what might appear to be unjustified, but at the end of the day, even the First Minister, Jack McConnell of the Labour party in Scotland travelled to Malawi in order to see what kind of a situation the people were facing. He saw some school children in their natural environment and visited major politicians. A few weeks after the event, Princess Anne met with the President of Malawi at the Scottish Parliament building, and they had a discourse dealing with the troubles and issues faced by Scotland’s new African partner in the new found fight against racism, bigotry and most importantly, **POVERTY**.

Bob Geldoff and Midge Ure, two famous British artists came up with a brainchild that travelled across the entire globe. Were people in Afghanistan praying for an alleviation of gun crime and poverty? Were people in Abu Dhabi worrying about America’s trade deficit? Were you crying for a Trade Blockade in the worlds worst offending nations as far as atmospheric pollution is

concerned? Despite the effort, it would have been a great birthday present to create world peace, disarmament, and end world debt and trade subsidies from difficult agencies such as the IMF (who have anti inflationary policies in many nations) in one fell swoop and equally become the worlds nicest president. But the difficulties associated with altering a countries constitution (North America’s *right to bear arms* is a challenge for any nation to discuss let alone the people of that nation) that could well be something for the next troubled Republican or Conservative government. Or maybe it’s time for Britain to gain a larger voice in the pecking order but only time will tell. Could the call for equality as opposed to destruction and the one word that equates to hate create the one word that means love. What of the next generation,

“if not you, then who, if not now, then when. **You can be that generation**”

Nelson Mandela (2005)

## **Making poverty history**

### **➤ A Quality Education**

An excerpt from Labours Plan for Plenty by Michael Young;

“Of all the social services, education is far and away the most important. ‘The best governed State’, said Robert Owen the pioneer of modern socialism, ‘will be that which shall possess the best national system of education.’ On it depends the happiness of many millions of children, and on its quality will largely depend the health of Britain’s future society. Inequality of educational opportunity has in the past been at the root of social injustice, and equality here can banish the deadly division of society into hostile classes. Full educational opportunity can give all children and adults the chance to develop their own potentialities to the utmost, not as regimented creatures of the State, but, in the words of *Let us Face the Future*, as ‘individual citizens capable of thinking for themselves’. Even on the purely economic plane, expenditure on education can be a most repaying investment; every pound spent may well have a greater yield in terms of higher productivity than if spent on capital equipment. Education can also widen the bottlenecks of skill which are at present one cause of income inequality. These are the reasons why the Labour Party both nationally and locally has always placed great emphasis on the need for radical educational reform.”

Without education, all the aspects of society people hold dear are irrelevant. The good, the bad, the beautiful, the ugly; it’s all just a matter of which side of the educational fence you lay. Hard work, in comparison to a

good education still requires a subsidiary education. If you can’t read, count or write you’re at a disadvantage. But just because you are at a disadvantage doesn’t mean you can’t understand something. Even if you are unable to see, it doesn’t mean that certain aspects of life don’t equate to an education. So have faith and try hard in everything you do. You might just get there in the end.

### **➤ Say No To Poverty**

Poverty has been the hallmark of a fractured society since the dawn of industrialisation, with educated classes working to alleviate the causes of poverty through an understanding of the very same. But, despite the endeavours of such individuals as Marx and Engels who created the idea of Social Capital, in what is now modern Britain and across the world, life though slightly improved for those in technology and capital rich societies and (or) classes across the world, owe an immense debt to the foundation of the ideals that Marxism espoused. Woodrow Wilson, the founding father of the modern interpretation of the dream of the United Nations and Clement Atlee and Winston Churchill, each of whom worked tirelessly to change the nature of contemporary Society, had a vision for a change and that vision is what created the world, regardless of the inequalities that exist, as we know them today.

The positions of economic power within have shifted with the advent of new age technologies that too many are just the development of older technologies. The semi-conductor and the laser (Light Amplified Signal Emitting



Radiation) along with the electric oven might not seem new; neither will ion-drives or mobile phones as ideas of scientific knowledge which has been shared, have been evolving over centuries, from the days of Galileo through to modern Astro-physics and Quantum physics and beyond to bio-physics. As just branches of science, in which many individuals and brave souls have sacrificed their lives to determining the nature of future definitions of their chosen profession and trade, the inference of this series of essays will be to point towards the nature of ending poverty and creating a plan in which everyone will be satisfied with the nature of one word we each have in common, our **Equality** which though **Human** in definition and conception, which epitomises and infers the very nature of the ending of poverty in it's entirety.

Poverty and social inequality have their depths deeply rooted not just in the social area's in which we live, but in the ways in which we work, eat, and socialise with one another whether surrounded by many (and feeling alone) or being surrounded by none (and feeling surrounded). Equality is the first step to creating a world in which no-one sleeps on the streets, or a world in which no-one is forced into slavery or addiction to substances. For many a definition of what it means to live in poverty may well be a laughing matter, for others, it is far from a laughing matter. To start with, I will begin by defining what I believe Poverty is;

- ☐ Impoverishment is a state of mind, it is the ability to live in a world where people can walk towards mass employment for the same industries that create the very divide in what is a class regardless of whether a person comes

from a seemingly noble household or otherwise.

- ☐ Poverty doesn't discriminate, people discriminate.
- ☐ Poverty doesn't spread illness, people do that on behalf of the impoverished.
- ☐ Poverty doesn't create hunger, people allow hunger to exist.
- ☐ Poverty doesn't hate, the human conception of our present reality allows poverty to hate.
- ☐ Poverty doesn't define itself as impoverished. Poverty is a person, a man, woman or child.

### ➤ Zero Hunger

Hunger is the human drive to eat. In a world driven by success, power, money and politics, the drive to eat is what every single living creature on the planet shares. Whether you are an otter or a lion (though I suspect you would not be reading this if you were either, but rather focusing on the activities that make you an otter or a lion, such as eating, building a home or founding a family – which is a full time job). Many notions and preconceptions of what the world is (tiered in such a manner as to include first, second and third tiers are false and should be rewritten so as to describe this, our first shared planet as just that). Everyone on the face of the planet has a right to a job, to an education, to a family and to food; why would anyone withhold food-stuffs from a citizen of the first planet, (that is Earth?). Well, I am not in charge so I cannot direct, guide or lead anyone in anything save for prayer.

Hunger does not just encompass a lack of food, it is the need for medical vaccines, it is disaster relief, it is the hope of a cure and it is the love of another person, man, woman or child that drives us all to respect one another enough to place people in positions that are altruistic. That is, the nature of helping one another is a human response to a disaster, but why can't it be an **every-day** response as opposed to an operational, organisational through to a strategic emplacement within a unified whole. Why can't every person be in the first place when it comes to combatting hunger? Why can't we see beyond the shallow greed that drives have(s) and have not(s).

The last time I was in Zambia, a new PlayStation had just been released. It was the most recent version of the same console in a branded store I had seen in Britain. Someone's wages, which were being paid to support a family in a capital city were also paying for their food, gas, electricity, travel expenses and so on and so forth just as in Britain. Whilst on the other side of the planet, in a factory, people were being starved of air, for the creation of the very same PlayStation, of which the world needed. For capital gain, a panda was sent internationally from one country to another, even though the panda struggled to do the one thing all of us eventually wish or hope for at one point or another in our lives.

Survival on this planet is a simple word. But the reality of survival entails that each of us must make a choice as to how we survive and what money(s) we are reliant upon. If you can find it in your heart and your soul to work for free, to defy inequality and a lack of rights and social justice, if you can fight poverty and hunger and all the things that are wrong with the world; remember those less fortunate than yourself, especially those without rights; especially the children. And if you can do anything about it, if you are in a position to do something about it, please do. Say No to Hunger.

*Opinions of the Son's of ignorance*

The common use of religion in order to stimulate growth of political agenda's over respective cultures, should be carefully coordinated. That is to say, as there is a numerous amount of layers that delineate the difference between where most pious members of various communities, nationalities, religions and cultures that regulate growth require moral input on a day to day basis (be it through the medium of prayer, fellowship with other members of a religious community or otherwise). I.e. is it actually ethical to use a religious point of view as a political agenda due to the fact that we as human beings grow from the knowledge that all that we do is relevant to our respective cultures, but what of those who have no religious or political affiliation?

That means there is too much involved in a single parents attempt to raise stable children to let their efforts go to waste or unnoticed for the sake of one sided ignorance in the form of feigned political correctness and the dire consequences surrounded by always attempting to attain the middle ground. We all have a free voice, we all have a soul and we all have a vote. Far be it for me to limit the same because of cultural ignorance or bias.

Research into the actual intentions of your chosen political party, whilst key in allowing you as a voter to make an informed decision can lead to an intellectual thrust in the direction of the attainment of your own personal goals in life; simply put you can vote intelligently, *it's one of the few things that's not unnecessarily against the law yet*. There's always more to the ideal of freedom, democracy and the right to direct and open communication as opposed to a malediction of

the youth of today, namely in the form of delegated responsibility.

There are many paths that we can take in order to attain the apathetic pathos of a leader who will eventually turn out to be a clone of his predecessor. So what is the correct attitude to assume when attuning to political activism or the realities of the educated voting system? Should you vote Labour and favour the attitudes of Working Class attitudes or vote for the one of the other parties, the Conservatives, Liberal Democrats or the Scottish National Party and so on and so forth? Whatever you do, (especially if you vote for the Socialist party) make sure you actually think through the actions of your vote! The reason I state this is, our leaders are far from inadequate, but (not that I wish to condescend the readers intelligence) the youth of today are far from equipped for the rigours of leading the world into the future glory that the past generations designed and built. Too much binge drinking, frivolous spending, Anti-Social behaviour and that's just the working classes (the students etc.)

So what's my point, how deep do we have to go to consider an educated opinion ours, and what is the cost of bringing religion into the fore of the supposedly revolutionary argument? Should we each reach for the nearest manual that explains the history of the Conservative Party over the Labour Party and the role of the Church in building the seemingly stable landscape of the British homeland? Or should the standard be the simple pro-forma answers to the questions we ask be printed in the papers as a way of explaining who should be in the lead. Is this how we should choose the next government and more to the point, is it such a bad idea to introduce the idea of political activism in churches and

education in schools. A thorny issue such as this is important as there are too many lost votes due to disenfranchisement.

The world should be more than simply a two horse race, and as such I implore the reader to think carefully about the next time your in a ballot box for a general or local election, think of the other parties and how hard they worked, especially the independent parties who worked to actually become institutionalised. The Green Party, the Socialist Party, Solidarity, UKIP.

When will the African Union become a part of the Great Eight? When will democracy become the right of individuals who happen to be domiciled within the borders of the largest landmass/continent on the planet? What of Iraq, that is to say, when will it no longer be an issue for the common man on the street?

When will the war once and for all end? Will there ever be a point in our lives, within this generation when there is actually peace on earth? When will children begin to grow up in Africa exclaiming the fact that they wish to work for the United Nations as opposed to for one of the many charities that actually support the foundations of the nation(s)? When will we all be able to face ourselves knowing that we can survive on a day to day basis on a paltry but fairly adequate wage, whilst on the other side of the world, many are living without creature comforts? Does life have to be a struggle for any?

Some people vote because of familiarity, money, love of politics like it's a religion and a science to itself. Others pray and then allow god to show them the right way to perform their democratic right which then defines their right(s) with regards to class, ethnicity, religion, sex

and age in light of positive discrimination.

Unemployment, The Environment, War, Peace, Immigration etc. People in the America's died to get the vote. Martin Luther King and Malcolm X died for the love of their work and because of what amounts to nothing more than hate. The suffragettes died in order to get the vote. Muslims are currently going through persecution simply to act and feel as they want, so that their children can wear a 'veil' in school.

Please vote next time there is an election, not for a face or a slick campaign; rather voice your need for genuine policies that strike the heart of the people (as opposed to 'I like the guy that can kiss babies' in order to get elected or the fact that a politician believes the country is mostly Middle class. That doesn't help the people who aren't '*into the idea of politics*' profiteering for your vote in an unimaginable spectacle of cabinet reshuffles and especially the single teenager, homeless, pregnant and without any prospect of getting a job or a benefit. The right to vote being the last thing on their mind).

What of Mathematical calculations in order to measure the statistical effects of mental disorders and any relation to locality on the geography of the face of the planet and equally global warming, substance abuse and neglectful cases of child abuse on the aforementioned. New age upgrades to the psychological development of those suffering from mental illnesses and the treatment of those suffering chemical imbalances and the reasons why over a spatial locality, the genetic effects of mental behaviour and those of the population from outside the British Isles could be compared to the population within, statistically through the W.H.O (World Health

Organisation). What of Mental Health reforms to the Mental Health act over the next two to three years (not likely as the act only came into power in 2005–2006). What of governmental policy over the next two to three months. Why didn't they try Sadaam Hussein and Moamar Gaddafi like Slobodan Milosevich in the court of Human Rights and Appeals (and why was President Hussein executed on the eve of Christ's birth?)

Education of the youth and future of the nations along with adult literacy and numeracy upgrades within the respective communities they live and work in would be of value. Health care reforms that are specific to the most common ailments relevant to the community or to a specific area targeting the worst illnesses again would be of value. What of a measure of Anti social behaviour based around Portuguese, Polish, Lithuanian, African, Asian and even Irish immigrants (in order to alleviate stereotypes en masse)? What rattles politics? What are their values? What truly drives and motivates politicians?. Life for most communities respectively is for all intents and purposes at peace. Therefore I turn to questions of the United Nations peace keeping missions in Afghanistan and Iraq in order for the respective nations to pull out and reduce the taxation funding to M.O.D spending even in this late stage. Less war, any removal or hampering of the efforts of those who would choose to focus on war should simply turn their efforts rather to the effects and life-saving results of a potential global vote for **global** disarmament.

Taking the events of the September 11th and July 7th it seems like a statement (post Manchester 2017) considering the fact that the country is in the process of flying criminals and weapons onto British soil along with

accepting further orders for war mongering in the form of Trident missiles and contracts with America to boost their 'Star Wars programme'. The question that any political party needs to ask themselves is when is enough, enough. When is too much too much and **what would Jesus do** were he Prime Minister. It feels like a contradiction to listen to what my minister states about the prince of peace and then, arriving home to listen to what is going on in the world, especially in Israel. I am supposed to be a follower of Christ, but at times I fear for the sake of others due to the current of fear mongering that is harboured by the worlds media, be it fact or conspiracy in the form of collusion or otherwise. I used to think that in this world of idealism, there was no such thing as a true ideal. Now imagine a world where there was peace in Israel, just as in Mecca. Imagine a world without death as a result of firearms. Imagine a world without a propensity to cause damage due to racial harassment. Don't ever stop dreaming because it's your history and past that dictates the future. Don't let our shared past be all we have left as a poor measure of our future lifestyles.

### **For women who say too little and pray too much**

Time is a foreshadow, a flickering afterthought of an existential gnarled root, a yet twisting and dancing existential flame. It illuminates, progressing forever further into an as yet unreachable present. Defined by the logic of human conception (by 'human conception' I mean such ideas as speed, time, velocity, distance and gravity; all conceived to define concepts that would later spawn ideologies. By ideologies I mean human conceptions or grandiose ideas of combined humanity, examples of which range from 'the will of mankind', 'human nature', 'thought' and cognition through to systems of governance or self governance and social and political upheaval.) people have discovered new and amazing technologies that have aided the sciences and mankind as a whole.

Though human conception has allowed the individual to surpass their own understanding and definition of what makes a person wholly accountable, understood by, for and with others (and themselves), perceptual subjectivity along with the duality at the core of man has led to the intrinsic failings of mankind as a whole. For it is only through the female form that man is truly ever able to learn, perform and exceed expectations. As a result, the world requires more female role models as ultimately and undeniably men are flawed in their self-aggrandisement with regards to all things. A strong community, it has been stated, will only ever grow stronger through the enhancement, liberation and unbounded (unlimited or universal equality and equally suffrage of all of its people(s)); namely in this regard I speak specifically of its women and children. To highlight a point, I would ask

only one question of the reader:-

***Who do you empathise with of the women and children you live with (if any) and equally, were raised by and work with?***

Some would say immigrants destroyed the world, others would say religion destroyed the world. Others still faith in humanity as a whole or economics, or race or class struggles. But regretfully, I am to blame because I a man. (Please make note that at this point I want to be very clear, I am male and happy to be so; but women are mistreated, disrespected and misunderstood, beaten and put down and frankly they all deserve better, every single one. Yet if the tables were turned, and women alone controlled the vast majority of all the earth, would I still be saying this?

So, Humanism is where feminine goddesses, and human demigods (the offspring of humanity) allow each other to coexist in harmony, peace and love regardless of socio-political demography. Suddenly the prospect of an actual and lasting peace is, could and would be a reality paved through the struggles of **all** our forebears lest we forget so easily, the universal suffrage granted from on high to men, and equally women, from the same higher power. Equality is a work in progress hence overall, tolerance will produce and promote equality just as substance equates to the difference between objective and subjective stories on any given issue. One day, all dreams will be the same dream, as we fast approach the dawn of an age in which all women, men, boys and girls are treated equally. But that is just the viewpoint of an idealist. If you believe in capital as god, you have missed

the mark; if weapons are your glory, god is watching, as with the lustful, envious, greedy, slothful who show avarice and so forth, perhaps today is the day to pray for those who can't or won't. Because without you there really is no future.

We each of us fight a daily struggle to free our minds, souls and soles in order to be ourselves; in light of which:-

*Remember what your first date was like, or first dentist appointment, or first bicycle ride or first lollipop; name the first sweet or first film you watched, your first word etc?* So do your parent(s); they are your country, your womb, your mother and father, your heart and soul. Love and pray for them for they prayed and may yet still pray for you as well as love you. More than you realise.

Apologise everyday and say something complementary to yourself and those who love you; and if you think you are alone, as I, remember those around you. Say grace and praise god for having loved you for so long because one day it will be a distant memory. Perhaps we are energy, or aliens or an evolutionary afterthought in a simulation of gods' perfect dream for humanity flourishing. Yet if everyday was similar to perfection (today, yesterday, the day before etc.) then there is hope for the future. Dare I dream of the true end of apartheid globally (Egypt to South Africa, Japan to Korea, Australia to Britain, Canada to South America) then Israel would, in my eyes, be the country I as a christian would pray for more than any other to show the world how to deregulate, decommission and to disarm.

Fear is subjective and collective, but dialogue is worth more power than any weapon, as is peace as a symbol rather than conflict, social disharmony, disunity and

coercion. Love, it has been said, transcends boundaries and borders. Let it be the bar you live for. Today could be the last day any of us see as the result of a social experiment we each bare as part of the choices or lack of choices we make. Democracy is an ancient and varied art, it requires something many never choose to mention and fewer still remember.

The agreeable nature of positive guidance, moral conscience and rational conclusion based on sound judgment. Once I believed all 'men' were created equal, this I have sadly found to be a fallacy, a predictable idiom of which many would fail to highlight as a positive furthestmost with regards to a globalised society. I am only one man, one vote, one voice; but through me I hope a legion will be founded (not of martial artists or lawyers, but doctors destined to cure all sickness). My faith and self-righteous attitude, at times egotistical and vehement with regards to conviction is saddening but as my mother grows older by the second I want only to see her say "Son, I love you and am proud of you!" as I am of her. Is that a dream worth dying for? Depending on how you apply spin or logic. Anything can be a reason to die, but the question is, what reason do **you** have to live?

***Dream a Dream and be Happy!***



### *The resurrection*

Delivering the new age, the epoch of a new civilisation born out of the ashes of a corruptible and incessant greed for what the world so desperately lacks, the age of the information user is conceived. The idea of the family and all that the perceptible consumer could ever require is siphoned off to those who happen to be rich in the use of information and knowledge. A grander schematic of time and the uses of knowledge, pure and unadulterated becomes the habitual home of these, the denigrated and degenerated dropouts.

What of cultural values, norms that outlast a stigma, the stigma that the youth of today lap up at an ever increasing rate. There aren't enough good, strong and wholesome leaders, especially African leaders with a voice that isn't drowned out at the drop of a pin in Scotland. So where do the youth of today, the Africans, turn to when the seed is sown? America, where you have a disjointed form of harmony that happens to be targeted towards those who seek information at an ever increasing rate.

But the point I am searching for in the cipher of the generations disloyalty to one cause, to one core edict is this; what does an ideal have to do with the youth of today, the general underclass's and the classical belief in a unified social consciousness doesn't ring true to the tome of today's glistening image of future world leaders. They are too busy enjoying the way of the world, long founded on institutions that are slowly becoming outdated or outmoded and lacking the function they once held. An example of such an institution would be the mental façade, a blockade that bars entrance to

organised society due to the stigma associated with it. Too little knowledge is passed from one mainstream position in space and time, to another spatial locality within a linear time index that happens to be passing each and every one of us by. Through it all, the idea of a strongly held identity, be it cultural or racial, religious or patriotic, lacks the depth and analysis required from many of us.

We each sit for hours in front of formations of plastic and glass, the cathode ray tube providing many of the worlds most intellectually superior individuals with an idea of exactly what the identity of the nation, the heart and soul of a land that is as bountiful as it is plentifully supplied in beautified ideals that are symmetrically purveyed in line to the understanding and knowledge passed down from one generation to another. But where is the Africanised mind, holding onto truths that are far from commonly held within a society that no longer has a place for slavery. Worshiping the troubled lives of people who don't really know any better what their true aims were or are, save for the grace provided them in the form of a capitalist rise in income incrementally delivering the dream of a utopia that will never last.

As a generation of thinkers, one and all, we need to look at the fact that despite the nature of the upwardly mobile social kinetics of our lifestyles, we may never actually truly amount to anything, other than what we make of ourselves. Other than what we allow ourselves to believe in. All of my life I have searched for a true voice of the people, someone who happens to have the common sense and the razor sharp wit or keen sense of communication within a politically mainstream environment. Someone who has the tenacity of Che



Guevara, or who has been through the struggle of Martin Luther King or Malcolm X. Someone who speaks not as an individual, but as the people would require of him, such as Nelson Mandela. But rather, I envisage in my current field of friends and relatives, people who are more commonly filled with self doubt and fear or loathing of the commonality with which we find ourselves.

Since the ending of slavery, Africans and their counterparts alike have been through so many struggles with many yet to make the headlines such as universal suffrage in many African states, let alone the denial that there really isn't a truly democratic state yet applicable to, or available to join the idea of the ascension to the first world, or the western world as it stands. I'm asking the reader; *Why is it that we as Africans are denied entrance into the actual control, direction and implementation of democratic policies long held to be responsible for such things that history no longer lies about.* Why can't we live up to the standard that our former leaders left behind, the legacy of an age of affable affluence. When will we be able to find a point where we stand up to the current and tide of oppression within our own hearts, deep in the most corrupt points in civilisation and finally start working for one another.

Imagine, the first African nation to go **deep into space**, to send a manned or unmanned probe to the sun or the moon. Imagine the day when all cars in Africa, and the rest of the world are powered by hydrogen or solar fuels. Imagine the day when children in a village not dissimilar to Chitokoloki mission, (a small village on the outskirts of Lusaka, the capital city of Zambia) study quantum physics with the likes of professor Steven

Hawkings, sir Isaac Newton and Albert Einstein as their leaders. Imagine a world free to choose to lessen carbon emissions that the African nations no longer need to choose to accept handouts of old and disused computers and Apple Macintosh's.

I can't prophesy the direction of the world as we know it, I can't even say I live a revolutionary lifestyle. But it would be nice to know that one day, there will be more publicly owned services such as free buses, pedestrianised walkways, fair trade coffee shops, free school meals, public ownership of the health services which should and potentially could be free globally. I'd like to think that with the help of Western governments, the "South" can stop using training wheels with every endeavour they undertake and start labouring not in the mines and the minds of the richest classes, but in the underclass's, searching for that special something, or someone.

The one who will save us from ourselves and the shells we all inhabit. That there will be clarity on where the state stands in key subjects such as the Education of the youth of today and tomorrow, what subjects they will study and to what proficiency. Will they raise more people to a University standard, creating forums of free thinkers and more intellectuals or will they work for the rise of profiteering in order to clear further debts towards the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. Deprivation of the youth for the sake of war on corruption shouldn't be the legacy of the day. A stronger foundation of legality and a rebuilding of the learning centres should be the order of the day.

With greater autonomy within the economy and the state wars on inflation which is one of the biggest issues

of the everyday grind will inter party democratic conversations, publicly held in order for the people to generally see what the policies of the leaders are, along with international communication, in order to share information and resources become ever more transparent? What of the day when you see the core competencies of the world's poorest nations being based around technological achievements. With the logo's "Made in Africa", "Made in Zambia", "Made in Zaire" and so on. For all our national pride, people don't talk of the roadmap to peace in the Congo or in Sudan on television. They don't talk of the nature of the UN's treaties to train the young African children ravaged by the deceit that war happens to harbour.

This communication is ever so slightly biased towards those who don't in many circumstances know any better due to the lifestyles they live. So where should nations go from here? What is the African Union debating for if they don't have the world class views that are commonly held by many leaders such as Colin Powell of the former Bush administration or if they don't inspire in the manner that rappers such as (and I say this reluctantly) Sean Carter or Kanye West, the Roots through to Nasir Escobar do. What of the voices of Morgan Freeman, (due to play Nelson Mandela in an upcoming movie) or Spike Lee, Denzel Washington and Halle Berrie who are all good role models. That role that happens to be lacking in Scotland can and will be filled, as Tony Blair stated "Britain Will be a multicultural nation" and so 'in god we pray'.

### *Resemblance of the after war*

The culture of the people was intelligence, life, humour, spirit and communication. It was based around predominantly African person's of colourless origin. But the thing about the culture was that it was revolutionary for its time. Both publications and radio transmissions were strong and powerful in the statements they put forward. There was friction and tension and the beauty of the message was that it circled around one core edict and message. The message was televised, it was the revolution.

There has to be consensus within the United Nations, the World Health Organisation and the court of Human rights and appeals over their role in treating child poverty, Aids, Drugs, Guns and Nuclear Armament. There isn't enough electricity and water, medical supplies and the land, arable and ready to be worked doesn't have enough educated employees ready to work the land for a decent and fair wage. There aren't simple things like water pumps and educational resources. Too many people are suffering without even so much as a bath let alone a shower with actual shower gel. That's not to say that there isn't a single sign of the better side of life, symbols of the western way of life are evident in the land. And the culture is slowly changing, but life is moving too rapidly and too slowly at the same time for different classes. There isn't enough food, respect and democracy. There isn't free speech and there isn't communication. More than that, we as peoples don't have a strong economic foundation available for distribution around the country; animals as well as people are suffering the effects of drought and a lack of the

decency that makes life worthwhile. We don't have regulated MOT's, (too much?) But what there is, in all its simplicity is a community generated around the youth of the day. The revolution starts with you, so spread the word, the culture needs you, but it depends on what you're looking for.

Hate as an extension of the expression of self and character denotes that one person with an intrinsic knowledge of the philosophy of good over evil will be able to distinguish the difference between another person's nature without dissipating all they know and understand to be their culture. Culture relies on the intrinsic foundation of the brotherhood of man and also over emphasises all that people perceive within their given environment.

The African mentality at times appears as though it lacks a given cultural diversity akin to many of the neighbouring states and continents. Equally what most other cultures have learnt from history, the African mentality learns from other cultures at the worst of times and founds it's naturally relaxed and chilled atmosphere based around what it has assimilated in order to create a stronger infrastructure based around internal macro communities and economies. The only question is why is it that Africa can't learn from a history that is hers alone in order to gain a mentality that makes her feel anything but inferior to other stronger social networks and foundational super structures. And why is it that world history won't allow others to destroy the image of superiority that still lacks the ambition and intimation of

the one word that the world has cried out for so many years. **Peace.**

The stability that belies the symbol of the white dove adorns the streets of Africa and Northern Ireland. Painted murals on walls aimed at fostering national spirit built around an unjust capitalist system adorn many of the walls that are capable of creating an image of peace, be it for example a company selling brick works or a local neighbourhood school attempting to relay to worried parents within the requisite catchment area (which is non existent if you have enough money) the price of a decent education.

Peace and love is Christianity's edict as with religious good deeds which are all good and well, but to the best of my very limited knowledge Buddhism is one of the few religions with a religious leader who openly and righteously professes peace along with actual global disarmament full stop. Opposing the war(s) as one of Buddhism's core edicts, I would therefore have to commend the Dalai Lama for professing what a world that lacks at present has broken down into negative and fairly bitter rivalries that amount to this day to nothing more than the ignorant and purposeless loss of life. That is to say, what are we actually fighting for?

### *Salvation and the protection of the people?*

When you look at the deeper purposes and look past the conspiracy theories and half-truths of George Bushes' war on terror there must be more to it, as in many area's worst affected by the war in Iraq, people are coming out worse than when they started with refugee's spilling into every nation surrounding the troubled middle eastern

nations. In Iran and Syria along with the rest of the Middle East there have been terror attacks and the ravages of Palestine's desperate bid for the Gaza Strip will live on in the minds of this generation as one of the bloodiest conflicts over the last few years. In Africa there is still guerrilla warfare in Darfur and Eritrea along with mass starvation that is being taken to a whole new level. All the while the nature of warfare is being evolved as the political, technological and social factors that surround the nature of the strong over the weak increases in its rate of rapid progression. Hostages being taken in this generation, Iran and the fourteen Britons taken hostage as an example cannot be commended by any, save for the weakest of minds.

As we look through the kaleidoscope, each of us has to look at the shards of the inequality that makes us pray for malnourished children. When you pray, think of the fact that millions of children fall prey to the ills of the generations that have preceded them. Deliberating the matter, when individuals look for hidden symbolism, you have to remember that everything in life is about making the people that you respect more than life itself see you as someone who is competent and capable, caring and compassionate. As such I wanted to make a break from the sins of my youth. I may not be the perfect role model as I stand out in the rain yet still I pray that your life will be enhanced by all that I do and say in a manner that will make you look at the world around you and simply force you to sit up and state that the people(s) who are forsaken in a world of fallen vapour and smoke aren't shackled to the degeneration of a nation of non-believers.

I'm not here to force feed religious bias, but I do have firm beliefs which I won't hold back due to the nature of

common sense. For instance I firmly believe in the pollution of the soul, not because of the lack of maturity that was inherent in my own soul, but because of the darkness that is so inherent in the world and myself. Seeing many of the world's most powerful diverging into tactics of touch and play, I simply merge into the crowd as simply another voice regurgitating all that has come before me in yet another over used manner. I have forced myself to focus on the reality that is unable to change any but every mind to the tunes of the sacred and the saved.

I am a firm believer in the fact that no matter where a person comes from and what they are actually about, you can get along with them, console and educate or inspire them. Treat them as equals and harbour no malice or discontent against a single person no matter their sexual preference, age, race, class, creed or revolutionary/monetary status and intelligence. Neither do I believe in hostility and gun crime nor do I believe that any amount of personal abuse should ever end in violent or negative situations be they internal, civil or international. That's not to say that you might be wrong if you do believe in them, but rather, I talk a lot about the issues that affect those who can't or won't see the light and the world for what it really is. A tired and bruised individual entity in search of a new road for those who happen to be domiciled underneath her blue sky's like oceans in the heavens.

In my lifetime I have felt like I was sinking within the depths of an intolerable stream of waters that are so disparaging to the soul. More than anything I wanted to note to the reader that everything that I do is important just as everything that other people do is equally important. There is not a single struggle that a person has

on a daily basis that isn't felt by another person and as such the importance of the fact that your soul is tied to the nature of other people is something that has a bearing in all that you do.

As a university dropout I found upon my return to full time study that it doesn't matter whether you struggle in life with one stereotype or another as you can for all intents and purposes still lead a fulfilling life in a career that you wouldn't even expect, you will one day find your path if you're walking without a guide, lost to the worlds drama. There is always a sign from a higher power that makes you attuned to the greater network of grandiose levels of happiness that is protected by the god's and the heavens above. Power in the mind is more powerful than power in the fist, and power in the soul is worth more than any muse can provide you with. Therefore, simply look at yourself in the mirror, before and after and then thank god that you're more than you know. And know that you're more appreciated by at the least one person than you think.

### *Empires and the question of Age*

The law was once a stable and strongly knit fabrication of the ills of the people. The need to found a solution to all of the worlds' problems and the policing of a strong nation state, deregulation of not nuclear power stations, but nuclear powers as they stand. Could they be more dubious to the incessant antecedents, all the intelligent words you can think of that amount to throwing stones at the shell of the empire. When you pass a shop window, you see the consumers view. When you enter the shop, you see the sellers view. There's nothing to stop the world from becoming one giant nest egg of the republic of an ancient civilisation, save for the power structure and struggles at the top. What people want is security and freedom to express their views for all intents and purposes in a free and democratic manner as they see fit. I thought I'd leave you with a few things that I thought politicians need to be thinking of in the age of information.

The homes for the homeless, which could be made affordable by issuing cheap housing that's unused by any other part of the populous in order to house the homeless. Old buildings or new, they wouldn't be a drain on the economy if they were purpose built on land that was already existent and potentially surplus to requirements and could be paid for by the taxpayer as opposed to a war for the mercy of a civilisation that doesn't want help (but needs it considering at this point in time there are 28,000 homeless people in Edinburgh—population 400,000 es.). They could equally think of the enraged and the bombs, the attacks and the security of homeland, through clever use of technology. The deregulation of empirical

protection, disarmament could be visible with a little of what lacks at present, not paranoia, but **trust**. The extension of a language to the speed of light, rural languages in every nation are an integral part of a communities culture.

The economy and the politics of socialism, the money and the foundation of institutions long held. What happens to capitalism for the next century of metrosexuals if it doesn't? The desire for power and the deceit it brings, you can buy it on eBay. The consumer and the capitalist, the dire consequences of terrorism and NATO. The child poverty and UNICEF. The nice face of politics and the scandals, why can't political leaders simply be wholesome Christians or Muslim's, Buddhists, Sikhs or Hindu's without the need to rise to the challenge of creating an error in the face of PR. The prison service and the genuine alternative, schools and universities paid for by the taxpayer, all in the interests of ending poverty and crime. The need to change and the hard set in their ways. The need to be heard. The universities and the toll fees, the prostitution and the barring of; the constant drain on the economy of binge drinking and rehabilitation, the future of a £40 billion health service and the gyms, the powers that be and the road tolls—pedestrianisation, which of these plays most prominent in the mind.

The Kyoto agreement and the gas guzzlers, the obsolescence of a fleet of navy fighters and cruise ships—to submarines used for research and not war, the common sense and the the need for a stronger mental health care foundation, information technology and the pharmaceuticals industry. The clothing industry and the need for decent affordable clothing, the need for paved

streets and cleared potholes, the sewage and drainage services. Delirium in the face of the killing and murder rate—the need for the police, and the argument against further spending on increasing a police presence that is understaffed, over budget and overworked or in some area's unjustified. The need for the army and the argument against them, the World Bank and the angels that swim with them, the cars and limousines—the need for taxi's, luxury and otherwise. The doctors and the voluntary sector, The identity cards—the social identity. Great Britain and the great debate on fox hunting. Racism and sectarianism.

If you excuse my naivety, write to your local United Nations government representative/ambassador, to your local politician, to the President or the Premier, to the Police, to the Fire Department. It's for the sake of the children of the future. When I was younger I used to love the media, but it turns out they are simply motivating the youth like animals to move in the wrong direction. Too much violence and guns in the cinemas (or war epics that have metaphors pinned towards the current war(s) in Iraq–Syria–Afghanistan etc.). They could force nations to become linear by following a direct timeline or force trade blockades. They have so many tools at their advantage, instead of fighting for territory, freedom or oil, we should be working for the people. So don't support war (support the soldiers who risk their lives daily for freedoms too readily taken for granted).

### ***The crystal Ball***

Can there ever be recompense for a life less ordinarily. It's hard to compose yourself when your once free soul feels as though it is suffocating and starving amid a torrent of wasteful resources unwillingly provided for the education and elucidation of a simple childlike mind; my own. When you realise that you're a twenty or thirty something living in a bigoted and conglomerate factory to serve the leader of a faction of the worlds' richest, what more for the humble and degenerated youth still in you. When I was twelve I wanted to be the prime minister, now I realise that perhaps the grass is in fact greener on my side of the border, that is to say, I know my own abilities and strengths and my survivability and trying to be as careful as I can be, the artificiality with which I respond to the cause and effect relationships inherent within my own minds eye leads me to believe that life is not all it's cracked up to be. And more than that, life doesn't have the same flavour it used to. I come from the generation raised on Knight Rider, The Incredible Hulk, the A team and of course Thunder Cats. To some that may mean very little, but we are living in the age of the media consumer, where life is more easily absorbed in audio and visual format and the things we all strive for, honour, virtue and respect are simple terms used only by those in more noble professions. One question I wanted to raise in this book was a challenging yet incredibly simple one.

*"Does and (or) **should** class dictate whether the nature of the type of content issued from the author will cause themselves, the reader, to be left on a knife edge,*

*questioning the reasoning behind what it is that makes the culture they reside in socially inhibited and or ambiguous?"*

There's a predominant or prevalent attitude within the cosmopolitan chic that has evolved whereby you can't simply stop a person in the street and chill with them for no reason whatsoever save for the fact that you happen to live as creatures of a hedonistic sensibility desperate for anything that might sate the hostility that is built up around the pressures of modern day life and the characters we all feel we have to live up to. Everybody I know happens to be at a level that is stable and comfortable, unified in attempting to trap the harmful density that is so inherent in the heart and soul of the people. The common social bond that everyone shares, leading to a unified hive mind is still evident in the social norms that are adopted by this, the laissez faire, fun loving, beer toting, coffee swilling, cigarette and drug taking choice makers of the lower age, race and class gap. But there is hope for the proletariat (nobody refers to themselves like that).

There is always art and culture to fall back on, museums and galleries as opposed to the weekly trawl to the disco. I can't say that I'm the kind of character to frequent my local library on a weekly basis just as some require clubs to get their fix of alcohol, simply to get my local fix of poetry and short stories like I should, but does that mean that I have to act like many of the university graduates I have witnessed on a daily basis who talk solely of the way with which the light rebounds off of the camera in the special features of their newly bought dvd or the onomatopoeic nature of their latest acquisition



from the local book vendor.

I would like to be intelligent one day, and I will be.

### *Sensibility and Pride*

Culture for many people denotes pride and sensibility. It exudes the dream of knowledge and sophistication whilst denoting an air of superiority. The arts collectively harbor a skill that is required by leaders and common sense is the nature of the reality of this one word. It can lead to education without academic success and creates enlightenment through the simple perception of a pupil as it moves across the image of a landscape painted on canvass. But more than that it is about what people share and believe in or practice. It doesn't denote hiding what makes a person who they feel they should be and what they believe they should be associated with. Culture leads towards or at the least in the past it led to the advancement of society as a whole and the world was drawn in to many different forms of culture. It spawns creation of ideals that the world desperately lacks, true democracy, monogamy, civility and pride wrapped within an inimitable self. Improvement of skills and behavior as controlled by the nature of culture are its legacy, as one generation moves forward, pushing the youth of the day to further the interests of their respective cultures.

The culture that revolves around gun based violence has to date sent many victims and aggressors reeling and forced millions if not billions to touch the sky without leaving the earth they stand on. For those who believe in the culture of the gun, (please note that I don't mean Hip Hop as it was originally the glorification of the **African** mentality and is for those who happened to be living in or who were raised in the lowest classes of society) there is something lacking from their understanding of why the



world needs to learn from the lessons of the past. The future needs something that creates faith, salvation, hope, competence and belief in this idea of the inimitable self.

The ability to use a soldier as opposed to a gun, compared to the civilians with licenses is a completely different but similar principle. As some fight for an ideal, a dream, their countrymen and women, others fight for monetary gain and political freedom or asylum. Who's to say that the guerrilla factions ideals, founded in blood are less noble than that of the gangster hoodlums who happen to languish in the deepest and darkest cells of the mind. Fear is the staple of the gun culture that gravitates around some of the worst neighbourhoods in the civilised world. But what would happen if you took the inhabitants of these countries out of their local habitat and placed them in one of the most deprived and malnourished villages in **Africa**. Would they collapse in Zion, like Babylon's decrepit husk, crumbling, only to be forgotten at the edges of reason and reality? Or would they see the error of their ways and use their natural instinct for survival to rise up from the ashes of degradation, finally realising that all that matters in life is the need to save lives.

The cultured in many circles are an artificially generated class of peoples who choose to glorify the wrong elements, statements and attitudes that are more or less philosophical in compression. When I say this, I mean the gun culture offers the wrong sentiment to the youth of the day, who see guns as an escape, as a form of powerful conveyance of a simple message. Of a symbol that strikes the heart and breaks the soul. To some self-defense and the message of protection is the dream that was far from solely envisaged when the gun was created.

The gun was transferred from one nation to another by cart and ship with one sole purpose. As a weapon of war, there is no romanticism in the image of steel and wood. It was designed to slaughter the enemies of the strongest and most powerful nations—Spain and Portugal—France and Britain—even the Emperor of Japan was noted as having a viewing of the impressionable weapon that destroyed the art of war and created a whole new world of franchised conglomerated death sentences. Irrespective of whether the motives are most noble or less than, gun clapping will in the end only lead to possession of the worst nature that society has to offer for one simple reason, no matter what your character is, whether you're a saint or a king, you believe that it is fair or right for one man to take the life of another.

Culture within a given community denotes the continuation of the best parts of a society or a given class or other social form of stratification. But it doesn't necessarily aim to promote the less than unconsciously sustainable portions of society, the questionable and seemingly incorrigible or unsavoury matters. That is to say, elements that are less than ideally noted to be superior to other nations or historical factions are not usually promoted as they sit at the table with a fork and knife in the garden of tranquillity.

The death row as an example is a concept in the twenty first century that is anything but admirable due to the fact that for those who see it as a deterrent are more interested in self-seeking preservation of on an animalistic or base form of "human nature". Human beings are not designed to cut one another down just as none of us should be caged up for the entirety of our lives like stray beasts defeated in outrage by our own nature.

It's less humane to put a human down like a stray animal with an incurable ailment.

Truth be told, you would wait for that human being, you would stand by them and look after them until they were better. Wouldn't you? I guess it's just one of those things we as a society have to deal with regardless of whether the issues that affect our society are inherent and or manifested within other societies.

What kind of reception has Governor Schwarzenegger received for his decision(s) to continue with the death penalty or George Bush's decision to allow Guantanamo to stay open for so many years? High society and the nature of culture shouldn't be focused more on the advancement of their personal integrity than the better nature of humanity, belief in the transformational nature of the rehabilitation facilities with a certain level of investment in people as opposed to the conversion of a hot currency that has been the cause of many of cultures successes and shortfalls.

It creates and is fueled by Prejudice the antithesis of culture. So what is my point. What am I actually attempting to evoke as an emotional response? What is the simple prayer I would attempt to convey to the reader? The answer is in the rhetorical nature of the question. It's time for the cosmopolitan neo national patriotism to convey a system of preparation that is designed to help and facilitate the advancement of the lower segments of society. Ignorance as a cause and effect attitude is no excuse.

People have the ability to change given the ability to rise above simple circumstance and above the image of idolatry that circles around a suit and a tie, a dream of a large office and the benefits of social class such as

monetary gratification for personal services. I have on occasion joked to many of my friends that I would like to one day be Prime Minister—a simple dream that I gave up on a few years ago. But for some people who live in Detroit, the gun capital of America, (?) the dream is a stable job, a day without a gun or drug based death.

For parents, instinctually the prayer that their children will grow up with a little **sensibility and pride** as their parents before them had built up with their bare hands. Irrespective of whether you have nothing, no matter where you come from and how desperate you are, there are always different options that you can turn to within the simple nature of free culture. Write, read, learn, work and move the wheels of society with the voice and the vote you were provided with. In Britain, gun crime is heavily frowned upon with a snobbish attitude because many don't actually know what it feels like to live in a world so depraved and disconnected. Patience and belief in a future, belief that the services and armed forces will one day no longer be required. That people will see **Life** for what it is and then continue to look towards a day when they will be able to walk away from the shackles of persecution due to a denigrated need to overemphasise the most depraved and despicable symbol humanity has ever created. The gun, the gun boat, the electric chair, the gas chamber, Trident missiles, submarines and the furthestmost of the "Star Wars" franchise that should have died with the advancement that was gifted by the creation of peace between the West and the former Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

### *Somewhere to Return to from Eternity*

The idea of a secular or non secular multicultural world with rules and traditions spanning such things as Tony Blair's law on Blasphemy, taking note of the fact that in Denmark Anti-Islamic cartoons were distributed and highlighted by the world's media as an obtuse attack on the grand old tirade and staple of schoolyards across the world. The actual demographic targeted by the cartoons was limited in scope and the actual result of the cartoons was highly offensive to almost all readers as a disgusting attack on religion as opposed to the political message that was being highlighted. The fact of the matter is, should the world be split up into those who learn about other religions in schools or should religion be abolished as a subject on the basis that it will create a disenfranchised youth, unable to distinguish between one world and another for the basic need inherent in all mankind. Education as an establishment has to rise up for the sake of the youth and show the objective stance that I received when in my youth due to the fact that in learning from every culture, I was able to make wise and informed decisions, about the fact that the world is a diverse and multicultural mixture of races, religions and backgrounds that affect every social makeup.

Belief for some can take precedence over political knowledge and understanding, the might of the 'old boys club' rises through the ignorance of the lowest and the highest classes alike and, generally speaking, can make a difference when talking of ignorance, which is basically what the lack of an understanding amounts to. For many a day and an age, I have pondered about what mostly amounts to personal opinion and when it comes to talking

of the oppressed and the down trodden, they require more not only from economic establishments and political regulation but equally from religious institutions.

There are so many religions and sects which are multifaceted and as such they need to be focused on particular agenda's that equalise a harmony that is inbound. That is to say "they", the organised religions of the 'coordinated humanity' we exist as part of, need to coordinate in a way that has never been seen before in humanity. This is just a matter of fact as they need to focus on the fact that people are more interested in how their countries are run, than whether people are guaranteed to bicker over whether the country can collectively stand against one another in the forum of religion verses education over politics. The more you research into the depth and scope of religion in history, the more you'll see such heuristic idioms challenging the nature of this moral quandary.

The Vatican for example is a choice picture of the structure of synergy between political and religious institutions where a potential Labour government would flourish in such a city. But I don't believe that Vatican city has schools that focus on political training for Muslim students alone or whether they fight for freedom for women to wear the Hijab, the veil that is a religious clothing similar to the caps that Jewish men wear when in synagogues. For religions that focus on anti war making systems and beliefs, do they fight for the need that soldiers have; to go home? Do they believe that it's right to kill another man in defence of their country, as opposed to for the protection of their religion? And most importantly, are the decisions made in such a country founded based purely on religious instinct as opposed to

an incredibly objective and inclusive attitude that happens to be directed from political institutions alone towards the people.

In today's Britain, there could be a potential separation of the church and the state, and a legally binding law that states that state schools are going to receive no support in financial terms. This could cause a degradation of the Political, Social and Economic terms that factor around the opportunity cost of raising a group of educated students and future leaders of the world. Will this lead to a difference between State schools and Private schools causing a new underclass of lost youths searching for a form of solace or will it create a new understanding of what it means to be a modern day atheist from every school and sector of the country. No matter what happens, provided the nature of religion doesn't saturate and create a medium within an undercurrent of changing attitudes that leads to the lessening of the statistic that is the loss of faith predominantly in political infrastructures, we should be fine. The people need faith to continue, faith in ideals and morals, religion provides it, but whether it has a place in the future of politics is yet to be seen.

Socialism happens to be deep rooted in its question as to whether any faith equates to a more than simple system of control originating from the dark ages of uncharted history. The hand provided to the ideal of a socialist or more importantly a Marxist revolution would have to ask, what would we do with a mass coordinated effort chaining the people towards a stratified manner of unified atheism. What of the separation of the church causing other religions to follow in order to allow each individual to express their freedom to choice rather than

being struck with a crucifix or a hijab as a symbol of an archaic belief structure.

What of left wing political parties and their beliefs in direct contradiction to the favouring of sectarianism, which has to date followed a great many social gatherings, namely the violence revolving around football matches such as Glasgow Rangers and Celtic.

When I was a child, I used to say my nationality would be defined as a result of the environment I was raised in; but I am not a nationalist and have found that the world is a big place. As the thought grows, the depth of the sadness within me begins to become more evident through the lack of a complete whole. Continuation of a constant level of all defining respect for boundaries I had once assumed were 'normal' in other people's lives is something I have craved my entire life. Morals, virtues and honour in the upright leads to judgement of those who are not so, yet any man, woman or child can change, grow and govern their own affairs with a level of decorum befitting of a moral virtuous or honourable individual in keeping with their chosen or enforced environment. A person in a prison has the potential to change, where they are welcomed back into society as a friend of the people upon showing their conviction and will to encompass the views and fundamentals of the society they inhabit.

But in order for the reality of a realisation, an ideal, a goal and a dream to become a reality, one (that is the individual) must understand the difference between what is fundamental, inalienable and indistinguishable from the self and what is not. Rehabilitation requires as at

present a person or persons within the judicial system to be aware of the nature of their environment, to be fully cognisant in accepting the terms of the social contract before them.

That is to say, when a person has affected someone else's life, business, property or income to the detriment through their direct and actual contact with them, they are usually forced to pay restitution as the assailant, rather than as a defendant. Where there is an idea of injustice through the ill treatment of the individual, or where they are seen to be of a dangerous or threatening nature – be it through their thoughts, words or deeds – then they are exhibiting anti-social behaviour (of which Tony Blair – former Prime Minister and leader of the Labour Party had introduced Anti-Social Behaviour Orders – another way of basically saying ‘please behave like an upstanding civil citizen or face the consequences’) then they are usually categorised through and by the nature of the severity of their actions, thoughts, words and deeds.

But where their actions are non-threatening but rather simply offensive to the individual(s) outwith the self, one (that is the individual) must find a way to pay recompense to the individual through their own means or face civil sanctions where there is a direct detriment to the individual(s) property, person or form of income as a perpetrator. Despite this question, the danger of the overuse of force in society begs a question that none appear to question as force is a form of apparent ‘human nature’. But in a civilised and natural environment, force is unnecessary; namely I refer to a situation or scenario whereby a person is raised to be a law abiding and god fearing individual (they choose to live with someone who

is not) and violent eruptions lead to the containment and overall breakdown of an individual solely based on their interaction within a given environment. The psychology of the self leads to a failure within systems of justice to contain the violent offender where they may be kind hearted and (or) timid, patient and virtuous in the first instance, they have irrevocably been transformed by the actions and environment they have become consumed within. On the other hand, where the individual has been raised in a violent and unconventional environment filled with a lack of morality and decency, there is a higher likelihood that the two will come to loggerheads (that is, to begin to clash). The passivity of a dormant ego, that is in this instance, the nature of the individual, the free will to achieve and to strive for greater, to challenge the impossible and to improve upon the same has led to the overarching belief that the individual is a good person – where others would assume that this is not the case based on a reputation and long running history of the likes that would cause others to judge and assume a base and degrading stature.

Society, in its entirety has a dire effect on the nature of the individual and the corruption of the self is endemic – plague-like – in its symptomatic address of a lack of issue. So how does one (that is, the individual) tackle the anticorruption stance, without making note to the fact that they are directly implicated and affected by the nature of society's all-encompassing corruption.

Where it is possible to walk down a street, be involved in an argument with someone at the same time and have no individuals or role models stand both parties down in any shape or form, there will of course be a disturbance of the peace. Peace being a state in which there is no harm,

detriment, disrespect or damage caused on a physical or psychological manner to the individual (enmasse) at any point. On the other hand where there is a disturbance of the peace and others within the local (or national environment) are making note to involve themselves, the question of jurisdiction becomes self-evident and is implied through the acts and actions (inaction being something that other states, individual(s) and people(s) would find almost repugnant whilst at the same time struggle to hold back their inability to act). In so illuminating the individual (that is the self) I am attempting to define a situation where there is no formal support, recompense or form of restitution; highlighting the nature of the beginning and end of the stagnation of a life that at all times watches and listens to what could well be the past and the future all at the same time whilst inhabiting the present.

Thus, how to overcome the nature of the self evident corruption and lack of morals in society begins within the self, within the individual and as a result highlights the nature of reading, studying and understanding the law. It has been two days since I started – as one person – reading the Parliament House Book: 1945 – 6 and a number of years since I last read the law. Yet all this seems less than new, less than fresh in the mind with regards to the same, in so far as, how a person, an individual gains morals on their own, yet exhibits symptoms of a lack of morality based on the debased or apparent debasement of the self is down to the individual to attempt to analyse, critique and correct.

But throughout one person(s) lifetime (whether it be long or short), an individual (one) would find that they are not as upstanding or as low as they are aiming to be.

To debase and corrupt a person(s) soul, an individual must have a basic understanding of the philosophy of morality with regards to how to perform at an optimal, efficient and productive manner. But to lack the ability to debase a person(s) soul – that is the only human aspect to another person(s) nature.

That is to say, where a person attempts to change from being the type of person who corrupts themselves and others, people should follow suit and attempt to halt the corruption lest they become corrupt within themselves. But where the corruption fails to halt, be it based on the inalienable rights that we each hold so dear within the West as fundamental rights and freedoms, there is a danger that the same fundamental rights are not inalienable as we once so thought to be true due to a lack of morality and decency. If on the off chance there is a possibility that one could learn from the nature of leaders, then their actions would be an example as a role model to those around them.

But where there is no other example bar the example present, then the individual must forge a role of their own and fashion it until such times as the metal cools and steel hardens in order to promote a sword of justice. How does one (that is the individual) believe that they can alter the nature of the self and others when they are unable to see the lack of morality within the entire planet. No one man (or woman) has the ability to ultimately alter unconditionally the nature of an individual's life-style or life-span solely as they are due to the role of self-importance that is placed on the individual. The onus as it were would be on the individual to gather their effects and alter their life through the substantial or less than substantial means with which they live their life. But



where the option to leave all things behind were not an option, they would have very few options despite being aware of the attachment that grows with regards to the material wealth of a nation.

Whilst in stating an individual's corruption, perception of an individual is limited to how they interact with regards to their peers, but where they have none due to the fashioning of a new character (the new and old encompassed within the same being) there is a danger that the ego becomes a valid corruption. So to do away with an ego is a corruption in itself as it becomes a form of identity indemnifying the self and smoothing the self. Thoughts of high and mighty versus the lowly self-filter into the ether allowing the individual a seconds thought, a passing glance or a dream of a change. Namely in this regard I am speaking not of inherent power structures or the struggles of others, but rather of the struggles of the individual as life if viewed through the strategic lens of the self, through to a future (tomorrow), will be subjectively forced into a corner. To assume too much and ask even more of individual(s) (that is, the many) is not a question but more a dialectical rhetoric of which as a potentiary towards the nature of the service we each undertake within our daily lives as a meaningful task (that is to work) deserves the respect placed within a frame or field of the same.

Tomorrow is not today, tomorrow is where the anti-corruption is a reality, a passing trend that allows members of either honourable houses or chambers of Parliament (Westminster) or chambers of Parliament (Scotland) to debate what is the best course of action with which to direct the nature of a person(s) (that is, the individuals) life with regards to the future. Aged 33, I am

now on a path of which I had not assumed I would be – attempting to learn more than just maturity, respect or commanding the development of a person(s) psyche.

Hence, whilst I would request that a change be the nature of the future, I fail to ask the same of the general public, or Her Majesty and the Houses Royal to whom I am indebted and will forever request an audience with in order to apologise for past misunderstanding(s) through the actions of an individual I can but pray will no longer be a reality – that is, the past self. Whilst a lifetime of servitude to others is my only recompense (that is payment) I have come to terms with my enslavement as a public servant (with little to no option or access road – that is, chance to start afresh in a world that is by far, by and large expansive but not inexhaustible. I have very few resources, I have next to no one to turn to and am alone (as I have been virtually my whole life). Yet the comfort I seek in being alone is more than can be expressed in words. To love ones own voice is to love the lobes one was born with, having grown them from the womb. But to forget that one (that is, the self) or others (that is the individuals who live breathe and exist once the self has departed) have lobes essentially tests the patience of the very same. (N.B. lobes in this instance refers to the two hemispheres of the brain along with all things neural and connected to the cerebral cortex and beyond).

To poison the mind and heart of others solely so that one (that is the self) can be vilified to the detriment of an individual (that is the self's) personal standing relative to the general public and all others outwith the self, there can be no excuse or reason to belief (in denial) that there

is a corruption both within the self and within the individual perceptually aware of and inhabiting the brain. To assume multiple personalities or excruciating mental health is the cause of this dialectical discourse come diatribe on the nature of humanity 'at it's best and worst' is a way of assessing within the individual what makes a person better than another so as to judge in public or in private how to conduct one's (that is, the individuals) affairs without any prior knowledge of the same. Whilst I have philosophy I have read very little and have experience a whole lot more than one (that is, the individual) might initially assume. Thirty three years is a long time in the span of a human life, middle age and mid-life crises are all that is left bar old age which comes so much sooner than one would assume.

Am I fool for having believed in the dreams of a folk singer over my own (in this regard I speak to change the way I think of the world whilst attempting to scream adoration for sunshine yet again, with yet another opportunity to write in solitude). The nature of all the things that define what makes a man who he is, how his character evolves and the way in which a person attempts to acknowledge their faults is a large reason as to why I am the person I am.

A long time ago, one of my good friends (Nicholas Law) defied all convention and died of uncertain circumstances. Grief stricken I have been unable to gain closure (as though I ever will) roughly around the same time, I attempted to get someone close to me to stop taking heroin. Life is too short for drugs. Life is too short for worrying about the past, present or future (other people will be all too happy to do that for you), but life equally is too short to pretend, to be happy.

My first book was a study on the character I wished I could exhibit, the moody poet. I realised all too soon that no one wants a moody poet, and equally I was being pretentious in order to fit in with the morals of apparently civilised and decent individuals of whom I have at times revisited. A silent prayer is all I have for others, whilst I am attempting to make the nature of writing look easy, whilst it may only have taken a number of hours to write in a manner of which I would assume is befitting of someone who doesn't struggle with anything, I have a daily struggle with everything from getting out of bed, to wearing a beret (some people assume because of the colour of my skin or my tattoos or lack of a smile that I am a militant young man, or because of the grey hairs slowly creeping across the canvass of my facial environ) or the words I say and things I do. As an adult I have come to realise that life doesn't come with a manual. It doesn't teach you how to act or think or feel. But it does allow you a chance to change that – to think or feel or act as you please (within the boundaries and remit of the law).

I'd like to think that I could write a manual for the train wreck of a life I lead, a self-help guide so that no matter what might befall me, my kids might always have a piece of me wherever they go. But that is hard enough without explaining to them that one day, they might get the opportunity to feed, clothe, dress post bathing another human being only for that human being to poo on you and everywhere they go on everything they touch along with peeing whilst in your hands, or swearing and idolising the smoking. That the same human being won't respect or understand that there is more to life than just drink, drugs and girls. Education wasn't always at the top



of my list but its up there now along with praying for a good job.. And hard work is all there is to it. I've tried everything in the name of discipline only to realise I don't know the first thing about kids after 9 years. I am the disciplinarian, the authoritarian and the academic pushing book after book after book in their direction only for them to choose Playstation 4 as an outlet for the motivational 'you can do anything you set your mind to' conversation that never ends. I ground them to be able to spend time with them. I take away computer systems because (i'm selfish) and would rather speak than spend time with them. But in the end, despite missing everything (first footsteps, first words – everything bar the puke on the shoulder), I get to be the one that always walks away. I miss every Christmas, every birthday (bar the occasional one), most summer holidays and school parents evenings (because apparently I have to be with their angry mum to have a relationship that is healthy and conducive / reflective of the effort placed upon how hard it is to work hard).

I tried really hard to be there, to say sorry for everything, but in the end I'm sure they'll be fine. So, anti-corruption? Corruption is where you choose to define yourself by a standard that is not high enough. Corruption is a lack of liberty in a world where there is nothing bar liberty. Corruption is money (mostly) but they say if you have it enjoy it. Corruption is abusing your body or that of others. Corruption is neglect (or disrespect). Corruption is contained within the poison(s) and intoxicating aspects of life that lead to addiction of any kind (smoking is corruption). Corruption is an inability to change – greed, lust, envy etc. Corruption is what you look like after having spent an entire life

staring at a screen. Corruption is knowing who you are and choosing to be someone else Corruption is someone else telling you who you are (especially if they don't know you, have never met you and can't tell you apart from the next person on the street). Corruption is a waste of time effort and money. Corruption is sexism. Corruption is chauvinism. Corruption is greed. Corruption is all the bad words that come out of your (any given individuals) mouth.

Time is the dimension that separates or rather displaces parallel universes from the synchronous, the phase of our current spatial plane. It pushes us and pulls us through the ages, and allows forward progression; though backward regression through time is impossible until such times as the end of time and potentially space.

In order to move the entire planet, or rather the entire universe to a previous point in time, each individual atom that exists as at present within the universe would have to be pulled to the exact point in space that they exist(ed) either now or (in the present rather) to the point with which they each inhabit in the past. But at the same time, not only would that have to happen, each of the laws of physics, namely the conservation and loss of energy would have to be defined in such a manner as to explain how certain phenomena as yet unexplained might become more relevant.

Say, a person during one day in their lifetime, gets a phone call, makes a cup of tea, switches on a laptop and then consumes the tea in the cup. In order for the reversal of time to become a reality, the regurgitation of the tea

back into the cup, depletion of the laptops computer energy, reduction in kinetic energy of the kettle and return of the components of the cup along with the reversal of the sound, light and vibration energy through to the reversal of the individual cognizing the thought to phone the tea maker would have to be a reality.

Namely, the question I have just put forward brings many variables in a closed loop. Now, add the rest of the world, each individual travelling backwards in time, along with sub atomic energies and reactions travelling backwards, the solar system, galaxy and universe would contract. Stars would become smaller and seem less bright, pulsars would collect energy rather than radiate it, black holes would become white holes spewing energy and matter back into the space they once would have absorbed, planets would disintegrate, reversing the process that causes gravity to coalesce the masses of gas and matter that makes a planet what it is. Suffice to say, it would take an astronomical amount of energy, and more than that, those individuals travelling through time would somehow have to be shielded from the masses of matter, radiation that would instantaneously be drawn, not to them but **through** their exact position in space.

It was all I had ever had, then I realized, I don't have enough time. Reaching the edges of what I had called sanity, I tried to reason with myself; to identify with my past selves. I realize that the past is the past regardless of ones identity in the present, but I then came to a bold discovery with regards to my current situation. I was encased in a world of which I had distanced myself from my past, from all the other past selves of whom I saw as lower than my present self. The high and mighty self, the low and weak self, the happy and the sad self; even the

depressed self of whom I spent a lot of time with was not in the current bubble, lower than all the rest of them. One thing I equally realized, though I could not bring myself to even cognize was, the lower I saw myself, the higher god placed me. God is reason, light, love and logic; but god is barbaric, a warrior unlike any warrior in human form. He (or) she is a being of such immense power that he (or) she created a destruct button, that is, a self-destruct button. We each have a self-destruct button built within us, but like presidents, tyrants, rulers and dictators, it takes a lot of time and energy to destroy the self. It takes less time to destroy another person, but in so doing, we inch a little closer to our own self assured destruction.

If I could have spoken to the child I was, I would have said;

"Young man, be thankful for never having had to apply sunscreen. It's hot in the future, and it doesn't rain as much as it used to, or snow as much as it used to. When it does rain, pray that the rain stops or that there is an outlet for the water. Be thankful for every meal and for every positive word granted you. Remember your forebears and always say I love you to your family, because when they are gone, all you will have is the love of a god who knows only too well the struggles of the whole world". *Solitude, peace, time*; these are words I had been recently becoming more and more acquainted with as I grew further from my children and further from god. But as I grew closer to god, I grew closer to my children; all I can do is hope that in time, they will see that I am trying not only to save myself, not even to save the world, but to remove the taint of a past I cannot escape. A past in which I as a father, a brother and a son,

a grandchild and a cousin, nephew and uncle as well as a friend, was surrounded by evil and the demons of the past of which we as individuals never escape; the gravity of one persons' life over another pulling and contorting our souls in ways in which even black holes and dead stars cannot define. They, the demons we allow in our lives, simply jump, from person to person growing more and more foolhardy. I was a foolish child, though I read a lot of science and fiction and allowed myself to dream of the future, of anything bar the sacrifice of those who sacrificed so much for me in the past. *So how will god judge me?* All the more so, for having favored staring at stars, or the moon, or dreaming of a world that as yet does not exist but god willing will one day.

Empires have been built in ages long since preserved in museums; of wood, of stone, of gold and silver and of late, of glass. But all of them are not the result of present or future endeavors. Rather we are the result of past endeavors. I asked my kids to think of a question seemingly quite difficult but I will explain why this question is more philosophical than at first appearance. I was a child when the Dunblane shootings happened. Scotland had an instant gun amnesty – the right to bear arms is a corruption that perpetuates fear. When I was in Israel I didn't go near the wailing wall as I didn't want to disrespect the Architects of modern religion.

Racism and apartheid are the biggest corruption. As people learn from their environment all common sensibility is drummed out of them until all we have is the flags of our forefathers.

As Einstein tried to explain, imagine a Cartesian graph – draw an X and a Y, Now draw ( $-X$ ) and ( $-Y$ ) then draw Z (on a multi-dimensional separate axis) to

create a three dimensional system highlighting the exact point in time and space that a person currently exists either on the planet, in the solar system or in space. Picture that point with no guns, no cars, no planes, no trains, no animals, no birds or aquaculture. Imagine no clouds, no rivers or lakes – Now tell me what I am describing.

Every value should have an equal and opposite value within an economic system. The future of business and the economy depends on it. Modern societal upheaval from Millicent Fawcett through to the struggle of the suffragettes and male suffrage through to the modern healthcare service requires dynamic innovation in matters of state and in particular with regards to the individual. When looking through the strategic lens of management and political steering along with election scandals and mismanagement, the people who control the fates of so many millions and billions of people are who we idolize and worship. I pray they think of us in the same manner as we hero worship them.

*Part 2*

---

## The Preambles

Charter of the United Nations: **Preamble**

**WE THE PEOPLES OF THE UNITED NATIONS  
DETERMINED**

- ☐ to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, which twice in our lifetime has brought untold sorrow to mankind, and
- ☐ to reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small, and
- ☐ to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained, and
- ☐ to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

**AND FOR THESE ENDS**

- ☐ to practice tolerance and live together in peace with one another as good neighbours, and
- ☐ to unite our strength to maintain international peace and security, and
- ☐ to ensure, by the acceptance of principles and the institution of methods, that armed force shall not be used, save in the common interest, and

- ☐ to employ international machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of all peoples,

**HAVE RESOLVED TO COMBINE OUR EFFORTS  
TO ACCOMPLISH THESE AIMS**

Accordingly, our respective Governments, through representatives assembled in the city of San Francisco, who have exhibited their full powers found to be in good and due form, have agreed to the present Charter of the United Nations and do hereby establish an international organization to be known as the United Nations.

**<http://www.un.org/en/sections/un-charter/preamble/index.html> (Accessed on Saturday, July 08, 2017 07:50:44)**

Universal Declaration of Human Rights: **Preamble**

Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,

Whereas disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts which have outraged the conscience of mankind, and the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people,

Whereas it is essential, if man is not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression, that human rights should be protected by the rule of law,

Whereas it is essential to promote the development of friendly relations between nations,

Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have in the Charter reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person and in the equal rights of men and women and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

Whereas Member States have pledged themselves to achieve, in co-operation with the United Nations, the promotion of universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms,

Whereas a common understanding of these rights and freedoms is of the greatest importance for the full realization of this pledge,

Now, Therefore THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY proclaims THIS UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF

HUMAN RIGHTS as a common standard of achievement for all peoples and all nations, to the end that every individual and every organ of society, keeping this Declaration constantly in mind, shall strive by teaching and education to promote respect for these rights and freedoms and by progressive measures, national and international, to secure their universal and effective recognition and observance, both among the peoples of Member States themselves and among the peoples of territories under their jurisdiction.

<http://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/> (Accessed Saturday, July 08, 2017 9:22:52 AM)

**Declaration on the rights of the child** [Proclaimed by General Assembly Resolution 1386(XIV) of 20 November 1959. This was the basis of the basis of the Convention of the Rights of the Child adopted by the UN General Assembly 30 years later on 20 November 1989. The Convention on the Rights of the Child was entered into force on 2 September 1990. ] Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have, in the Charter, reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights and in the dignity and worth of the human person, and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom, Whereas the United Nations has, in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, proclaimed that everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth therein, without distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status, Whereas the child, by reason of his physical and mental immaturity, needs special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection, before as well as after birth, Whereas the need for such special safeguards has been stated in the Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child of 1924, and recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the statutes of specialized agencies and international organizations concerned with the welfare of children, Whereas mankind owes to the child the best it has to give, Now therefore, The General Assembly Proclaims this Declaration of the Rights of the Child to the end that he may have a happy childhood and enjoy for his own good and for the good of society the rights and freedoms herein set forth, and calls upon parents, upon men and women as individuals, and upon voluntary organizations,

local authorities and national Governments to recognize these rights and strive for their observance by legislative and other measures progressively taken in accordance with the following principles:

**Principle 1** The child shall enjoy all the rights set forth in this Declaration. Every child, without any exception whatsoever, shall be entitled to these rights, without distinction or discrimination on account of race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status, whether of himself or of his family.

**Principle 2** The child shall enjoy special protection, and shall be given opportunities and facilities, by law and by other means, to enable him to develop physically, mentally, morally, spiritually and socially in a healthy and normal manner and in conditions of freedom and dignity. In the enactment of laws for this purpose, the best interests of the child shall be the paramount consideration.

**Principle 3** The child shall be entitled from his birth to a name and a nationality.

**Principle 4** The child shall enjoy the benefits of social security. He shall be entitled to grow and develop in health; to this end, special care and protection shall be provided both to him and to his mother, including adequate pre-natal and post-natal care. The child shall have the right to adequate nutrition, housing, recreation and medical services.

**Principle 5** The child who is physically, mentally or socially handicapped shall be given the special treatment, education and care required by his particular condition.



**Principle 6** The child, for the full and harmonious development of his personality, needs love and understanding. He shall, wherever possible, grow up in the care and under the responsibility of his parents, and, in any case, in an atmosphere of affection and of moral and material security; a child of tender years shall not, save in exceptional circumstances, be separated from his mother. Society and the public authorities shall have the duty to extend particular care to children without a family and to those without adequate means of support. Payment of State and other assistance towards the maintenance of children of large families is desirable.

**Principle 7** The child is entitled to receive education, which shall be free and compulsory, at least in the elementary stages. He shall be given an education which will promote his general culture and enable him, on a basis of equal opportunity, to develop his abilities, his individual judgement, and his sense of moral and social responsibility, and to become a useful member of society. The best interests of the child shall be the guiding principle of those responsible for his education and guidance; that responsibility lies in the first place with his parents. The child shall have full opportunity for play and recreation, which should be directed to the same purposes as education; society and the public authorities shall endeavor to promote the enjoyment of this right.

**Principle 8** The child shall in all circumstances be among the first to receive protection and relief. –

**Principle 9** The child shall be protected against all forms of neglect, cruelty and exploitation. He shall not be the subject of traffic, in any form. The child shall not be admitted to employment before an appropriate minimum age; he shall in no case be caused or permitted to engage

in any occupation or employment which would prejudice his health or education, or interfere with his physical, mental or moral development.

**Principle 10** The child shall be protected from practices which may foster racial, religious and any other form of discrimination. He shall be brought up in a spirit of understanding, tolerance, friendship among peoples, peace and universal brotherhood, and in full consciousness that his energy and talents should be devoted to the service of his fellow men.

**CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD**

[Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly Resolution 44/25 of 20 November 1989; entry into force: 2 September 1990, in accordance with Article 49] The General Assembly, Recalling its previous resolutions, especially resolutions 33/166 of 20 December 1978 and 43/112 of 8 December 1988, and those of the Commission on Human Rights and the Economic and Social Council related to the question of a convention on the rights of the child, Taking note, in particular, of Commission on Human Rights resolution 1989/57 of 8 March 1989, by which the Commission decided to transmit the draft convention on the rights of the child, through the Economic and Social Council, to the General Assembly, and Economic and Social Council resolution 1989/79 of 24 May 1989, Reaffirming that children's rights require special protection and call for continuous improvement of the situation of children all over the world, as well as for their development and education in conditions of peace and security, Profoundly concerned that the situation of children in many parts of the world remains critical as a result of inadequate social conditions, natural disasters, armed conflicts, exploitation, illiteracy, hunger and disability, and convinced that urgent and effective national and international action is called for, Mindful of the important role of the United Nations Children's Fund and of that of the United Nations in promoting the well-being of children and their development, Convinced that an international convention on the rights of the child, as a standard-setting accomplishment of the United Nations in the field of human rights, would make a positive contribution to protecting children's rights and ensuring

their well-being, Bearing in mind that 1989 marks the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration of the Rights of the Child and the tenth anniversary of the International Year of the Child,

1. Expresses its appreciation to the Commission on Human Rights for having concluded the elaboration of the draft convention on the rights of the child;
  2. Adopts and opens for signature, ratification and accession the Convention on the Rights of the Child contained in the annex to the present resolution;
  3. Calls upon all Member States to consider signing and ratifying or acceding to the Convention as a matter of priority and expresses the hope that it will come into force at an early date;
  4. Requests the Secretary-General to provide all the facilities and assistance necessary for dissemination of information on the Convention;
  5. Invites United Nations agencies and organizations, as well as intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations, to intensify their efforts with a view to disseminating information on the Convention and to promoting its understanding;
  6. Requests the Secretary-General to submit to the General Assembly at its forty-fifth session a report on the status of the Convention on the Rights of the Child;
  7. Decides to consider the report of the Secretary-General at its forty-fifth session under an item entitled "Implementation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child".
- 61st plenary meeting 20 November 1989  
ANNEX Convention on the Rights of the Child  
<https://www.unicef.org/malaysia/1959-Declaration-of-the-Rights-of-the-Child.pdf> (Saturday, July 08, 2017 8:19:33 AM)

*ABOUT THE AUTHOR*

---

Indana Simonde is a father of twins (Joshua and Michael) and formerly studied Management and Marketing as well as Politics, Philosophy and Economics. He is currently studying towards an LLB English Law and equally lives in Edinburgh, Scotland.

Proof